

## Lecture 6

### Weak maximum principle for linear elliptic operators

Now we consider the more general differential operators

$$L = a^{ij}(x)D_{ij} + b^i(x)D_i + c(x),$$

i.e., for any  $C^2$  function  $u$ ,

$$Lu = a^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + b^i(x)\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x^i} + c(x)u(x),$$

where  $a^{ij}, b^i, c$  are bounded functions.

**Definition 1** Suppose  $L$  is like above.

- 1. If  $\exists \lambda(x) > 0$  s.t.  $(a^{ij}(x)) > \lambda(x)I$ , then  $L$  is **elliptic**.
- 2. If  $\exists \lambda(x) > \lambda_0 > 0$  s.t.  $(a^{ij}(x)) > \lambda(x)I$ , then  $L$  is **strictly elliptic**.
- 3. If  $\exists \infty > \Lambda > \lambda_0 > 0$  s.t.  $\Lambda I > (a^{ij}(x)) > \lambda_0 I$ , then  $L$  is **uniformly elliptic**.

**Theorem 1** Suppose  $L$  is elliptic in bounded domain  $\Omega$ ,  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $Lu \geq 0, c(x) \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ , then

$$\sup_{\Omega} u = \sup_{\partial\Omega} u.$$

If  $Lu \leq 0$  instead, then

$$\inf_{\Omega} u = \inf_{\partial\Omega} u.$$

**Proof:** Assume  $x_0 \in \Omega$  s.t.  $u(x_0) = \sup_{\Omega} u$ , then  $(D_{ij}u(x_0)) \leq 0, D_i u(x_0) = 0$ , so we get

$$Lu(x_0) = a^{ij}D_{ij}u(x_0) \leq 0.$$

If  $Lu > 0$ , then we have already get a contradiction. So the theorem is true for this simple case.

Now we turn to the general case  $Lu \geq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $a^{11} > 0$ . Let  $v = e^{rx^1}$  for some constant  $r$ , then

$$v_i = re^{rx^1}\delta_{1i}, \quad v_{ii} = r^2e^{rx^1}\delta_{1i}, \quad \text{and} \quad v_{ij} = 0, \forall i \neq j.$$

Thus

$$Lv = a^{11}r^2e^{rx^1} + b^1re^{rx^1} = (a^{11}r^2 + b^1r)e^{rx^1}.$$

Since  $a^{11} > 0$ , we can choose  $r > 0$  large enough such that  $Lv > 0$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$L(u + \epsilon v) = Lu + \epsilon Lv > 0.$$

So by the result of the simple case, we get

$$\sup_{\Omega}(u + \epsilon v) = \sup_{\partial\Omega}(u + \epsilon v).$$

Now we let  $\epsilon > 0$ , we get

$$\sup_{\Omega} u = \sup_{\partial\Omega} u.$$

For the second part, the proof is just the same.  $\blacksquare$

To generalize the theorem, we define

$$u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}, \quad u^- = u - u^+, \quad \Omega^+ = \{x|u(x) > 0\}.$$

**Theorem 2** *With the same assumption as above, and suppose  $Lu \geq 0$ ,  $c \leq 0$ , then*

$$\sup_{\Omega} u \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} u^+.$$

If  $Lu \leq 0$ ,  $c(x) \leq 0$  instead, then

$$\inf_{\Omega} u \geq \inf_{\partial\Omega} u^-.$$

In particular, if  $Lu = 0$ ,  $c(x) \leq 0$ , then

$$\sup_{\Omega} |u| = \sup_{\partial\Omega} |u|.$$

**Proof:** Let  $L_0 u = a^{ij} D_{ij} u + b^i D_i u$ , then in  $\Omega^+$  we have  $L_0 u \geq -c(x)u \geq 0$ . Thus by the previous theorem, we have

$$\sup_{\Omega^+} u = \sup_{\partial\Omega^+} u.$$

So

$$\sup_{\Omega} u = \sup_{\Omega} u^+ = \sup_{\Omega^+} u^+ = \sup_{\Omega^+} u = \sup_{\partial\Omega^+} u \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} u^+. \blacksquare$$

### Uniqueness of solutions to Dirichlet problem

**Corollary 1 (Uniqueness)** Suppose  $L$  elliptic,  $c(x) \leq 0$ ,  $u, v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , and

$$\begin{cases} Lu = Lv & , \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = v & , \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

then  $u = v$  in  $\Omega$ .

**(Comparison theorem)** If

$$\begin{cases} Lu \geq Lv & , \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u \leq v & , \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

then  $u \leq v$  in  $\Omega$ .

**A Priori  $C^0$  estimates for solutions to  $Lu = f$ ,  $c \leq 0$ .**

**Theorem 3** Suppose  $L$  is strictly elliptic,  $c(x) \leq 0$ ,  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , where  $\Omega$  is bounded domain.

If  $Lu \geq f$ , then there exists constant  $C = C(\lambda, \Omega)$  s.t.

$$\sup_{\Omega} u \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} u^+ + C \sup_{\Omega} |f^-|.$$

If  $Lu = f$ , then

$$\sup_{\Omega} |u| \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} |u| + C \sup_{\Omega} |f|.$$

**Proof:** Let  $L_0 = a^{ij}D_{ij} + b^i D_i$ , then

$$L_0 e^{rx^1} = (a^{11}r^2 + b^1 r) > \delta > 1$$

for  $r$  large enough. Let

$$v = \sup_{\partial\Omega} u^+ + (e^{rd} - e^{rx^1}) \sup_{\Omega} |f^-|,$$

where  $d > x^1$  for  $\forall x \in \Omega$ . Then

$$Lv = L_0 v + cv \leq L_0 v \leq -\delta \sup_{\Omega} |f^-| \leq -\sup_{\Omega} |f^-|.$$

$$\therefore L(v - u) \leq -\sup_{\Omega} |f^-| - f \leq 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

But  $v \geq u$  on  $\partial\Omega$  by definition. Thus the last corollary tells us  $v \geq u$  in  $\Omega$ , i.e.

$$\sup_{\Omega} u \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} u^+ + C \sup_{\Omega} |f^-|.$$

If  $Lu = f$ , replacing  $u$  by  $-u$  and  $f$  by  $-f$ , we thus get the second result. ■

### Strong maximum principle

First we introduce the Hopf's lemma.

**Lemma 1** Suppose  $L$  is uniformly elliptic,  $c = 0$ ,  $Lu \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

Let  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  be such that (i)  $u$  is continuous at  $x_0$ ;

(ii)  $u(x_0) > u(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \Omega$ ;

(iii)  $\partial\Omega$  satisfies an interior sphere condition at  $x_0$ .

Then the outer normal derivative of  $u$  at  $x_0$ , if exists, satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x_0) > 0.$$

If  $c(x) \leq 0$ , then it holds for  $u(x_0) \geq 0$ .

If  $u(x_0) = 0$ , then it holds for any  $c(x)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $B(y, R)$  be the interior sphere, i.e.  $B(y, R) \subset \Omega$  and  $x_0 \in \partial B(y, R)$ . Define  $v(x) = e^{-\alpha r^2} - e^{-\alpha R^2}$ , where  $r = |x - y|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Lv &= a^{ij} D_{ij}v + b^i(-\alpha(x^i - y^i)e^{-\alpha r^2}) \\ &= a^{ij}(-\alpha\delta^{ij}e^{-\alpha r^2} + \alpha^2(x^i - y^i)e^{-\alpha r^2}) + b^i(-\alpha(x^i - y^i)e^{-\alpha r^2}) \\ &= e^{-\alpha r^2}(\alpha^2 a^{ij}(x^i - y^i)(x^j - y^j) - \alpha a^{ii} - \alpha b^i(x^i - y^i)) \\ &> e^{-\alpha r^2}(\alpha^2 \lambda_0 r^2 - \alpha \Lambda - \alpha \sup |b| \cdot r) \end{aligned}$$

Take  $A = B_R(y) \setminus B_\rho(y)$ ,  $0 < \rho < R$ , then for  $\alpha$  large enough,  $Lv > 0$  in  $A$ .

The assumption (ii) tells us  $u(x) < u(x_0)$  in  $\Omega$ , in particular this holds on  $\partial B(y, \rho)$ , so there is some  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $u(x) - u(x_0) < -\delta < 0$  on  $\partial B_\rho(y)$ .

Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $u(x) - u(x_0) + \epsilon v \leq 0$  on  $\partial B_\rho(y)$ .

Since  $v = 0$  on  $\partial B_R(y)$ , we automatically have  $u(x) - u(x_0) + \epsilon v \leq 0$  on  $\partial B_R(y)$ .

Also we have known

$$L(u - u(x_0) + \epsilon v) = Lu + \epsilon Lv > 0,$$

thus by the comparison theorem, we get

$$u - u(x_0) + \epsilon v \leq 0, \quad \text{in } A.$$

So

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x_0) \geq -\epsilon \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x_0) = \epsilon v'(R) > 0.$$

For  $u(x_0) = 0$ , just look at  $L - c(x)$ . ■

Now we give the Strong Maximum Principle.

**Theorem 4** Suppose  $L$  is uniformly elliptic,  $c = 0$ ,  $Lu \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ . If  $u$  achieves its maximum in the interior, then  $u$  is constant.

If  $Lu \leq 0$  and  $u$  achieves its minimum in the interior, then  $u$  is constant.

If  $c \leq 0$ , then  $u$  cannot achieve a non-negative maximum in the interior unless  $u$  is constant.

**Proof:** Assume  $u$  is not constant, and achieves maximum  $M$  at  $x_0$  in the interior.

Let  $\Omega^- = \{x \in \Omega | u(x) < M\}$ . By definition we know  $\Omega^- \subset \Omega$ , and  $\partial \Omega^- \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$  since  $u$  is not constant.

Let  $x_1 \in \Omega^-$  be s.t.  $x_1$  is closer to  $\partial \Omega^-$  than  $\partial \Omega$ , and  $B(x_1, R)$  be the largest ball in  $\Omega^-$  centered at  $x_1$ . Then  $u(y) = M$  for some  $y \in \partial B(x_1, R)$ .

By Hopf's lemma, we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(y) > 0.$$

This is a contradiction, since  $y$  is a maximum of  $u$  and so  $Du(y)$  should be 0. ■